U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OFFICIAL BUSINESS IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER RECEIVED

LAWSO67" 032467001 1203 14 02/23/00
FORWARD TIME EXP RTN TO SEND
FORWARD TIME EXP REN TO SEND
LAWSON PRILEOI & PERSON PC
67 WATER ST SIE 103
LACONIA NH 03246-3300
RETURN TO SENDER

PRECEIVED

1700 1700

ed to rank



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,254	07/11/2001	David M.H. Stewart	1162-010	1249
75	90 02/19/2004		EXAM	INER
Lawson, Philp	ot & Persson, P.C.		LEE, EDN	MUND H
67 Water Street	, Suite 110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Laconia, NH	J3240		1732	

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/903,254	ŀ	STEWART, DAVI	D M.H.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	- 0-
		EDMUND I		1732	
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	aaress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (0) period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evel munication. 30) days, a reply within the statul statutory period will apply and will	nt, however, may a reply be ti lory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror eation to become ABANDON	imely filed lys will be considered time in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi		an final	•	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is no	on-tinal. For formal matters in	rosecution as to th	ie merits is
3)	Since this application is in condition	n tor allowance except t	or iornarmatters, pr avle 1935 C.D. 11.4	453 O.G. 213.	io monto io
	closed in accordance with the prac	noe under Ex parte Qui	aylo, 1000 0.D. 11, -		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the a	application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from cor	isideration.		
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or election re	equirement.		
لــا(٥	Claim(3) are subject to ree		. •		
	tion Papers	•			
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.		5	
10)[_	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a) i accepted or b)	objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) b	e neid in abeyance. S	phierted to See 37 (CFR 1 121(d).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	ng the correction is require	ed ii trie drawing(s) is to ste the attached Offic	ce Action or form F	PTO-152.
11)∟ 	I The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Laminer. No	no attachea Ome	, ,	
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a clair) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have bee	n received.		`
	2. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have bee	n received in Applica	auon NO	al Stane
	3. Copies of the certified copie	s of the priority docume	ants nave been recei	IVEU III UIIS NAUUII	ai Siaye
	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office actions.	tion for a list of the certi	ਦ । r.∠(ਕ)). fied copies not recei	ved.	
	See the attached detailed Office ac	ion for a list of the cert	ned doples necross.		
Attachme	ent(s)				
1) 🔀 Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO 948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
3) Info	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 per No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08)		Il Patent Application (P	TO-152)

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The step of positioning (cl 1, ln 6) is indefinite because its relationship with the step of preparing a bonding surface is unclear. If the non-polar material positioned in the mold is the same as the non-polar material prepared by plasma bonding then it should be positively recited as such.

Clarification and/or correction is required.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakata et al (USPN 5804299) in view of Hauser et al (USPN 4155972). In regard to claim 1, Nakata et al teach the claimed process including a method of making a polyurethane composite material (col 2, lns 46-60; col 3, lns 53-57; col 3, ln 65-col 4, ln 7; col 4, lns 45-60; col 5, lns 19-24 and 53-57; and col 6, lns 63-65); forming a non-polar material into a predetermined shape (col 2, lns 46-60; col 3, lns 53-57; col 3, ln 65-col 4, ln 7; col 4, lns 45-60; col 5, lns 19-24 and 53-57; and col 6, lns 63-65)--as a note, the silicone rubber constitutes a non-polar material; preparing a bonding surface of the non-

Art Unit: 1732

polar material by plasma treating the bonding surface (col 2, lns 46-60; col 3, lns 53-57; col 3, In 65-col 4, In 7; col 4, Ins 45-60; col 5, Ins 19-24 and 53-57; and col 6, Ins 63-65); disposing liquid precursors of polyurethane such that the liquid precursor of polyurethane is in contact with the bonding surface of the non-polar material (col 2, lns 46-60; col 3, lns 53-57; col 3, ln 65-col 4, ln 7; col 4, lns 45-60; col 5, lns 19-24 and 53-57; and col 6, Ins 63-65); and curing the liquid precursor of polyurethane to form a polyurethane material, wherein the non-polar material and the polyurethane are effectively joined at the bonding surface of the non-polar material to form the polyurethane composite material (col 2, lns 46-60; col 3, lns 53-57; col 3, ln 65-col 4, ln 7; col 4, Ins 45-60; col 5, Ins 19-24 and 53-57; and col 6, Ins 63-65). However, Nakata et al do not teach positioning the non-polar material in a mold and disposing the liquid precursors of polyurethane into a cavity of the mold. Hauser et al teach molding a button having multiple coatings wherein each coating is molded within a cavity of a mold (col 2, lns 58-68). Nakata et al and Hauser et al are combinable because they are analogous with respect to molding a multi-layered button. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mold the polyurethane coating of Nakata et al within a cavity of a mold as taught by Hauser et al in order to improve efficiency and precision. In regard to claims 2-4, Nakata et al teach the specifics of the plasma treatments as found in claims 2 and 3 (col 3, In 65-col 4, Ins 60). However, Nakata et al do not teach using ultra high molecular weight polyethylene. The specific material used is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentable consequences to the claimed process since it is not

Art Unit: 1732

a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, UHMW polyethylene is well-known in the molding art for its durability. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use UHMW polyethylene in the process of Nakata et al in order to improve the durability of the button of Nakata et al.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. Nakata et al (USPN 5804299) in view of Hauser et al (USPN 4155972) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Kimura (USPN 6322875). The above combined teachings of Nakata et al and Hauser et al are incorporated hereinafter. Nakata et al teach the specifics of the plasma treatments as found in claims 2 and 3 (col 3, In 65-col 4, Ins 60). However, Nakata et al do not teach disposing a metallic material in a predetermined position in the mold; and using ultra high molecular weight polyethylene. In regard to disposing a metallic material in a predetermined position in the mold, Kimura teach a button having inorganic membrane 5/metallic material below outer coating 6 (col 43, Ins 12-16 and 33-35). Nakata et al (modified) and Kimura are combinable because they are analogous with respect to buttons. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dispose an inorganic membrane/metallic material under the polyurethane outer coating of Nakata et al prior to the step of disposing the liquid precursors of polyurethane of Nakata et al in order to enhance the aesthetic appeal of the button of Nakata et al. In regard to using ultra high molecular weight polyethylene, the specific material used is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little

Application/Control Number: 09/903,254 Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

patentable consequences to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, UHMW polyethylene is well-known in the molding art for its durability. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use UHMW polyethylene in the process of Nakata et al (modified) in order to improve the durability of the button of Nakata et al (modified).

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shiho et al (USPN 4440820) teach molding a button wherein an outer coating is injection molded within a cavity of a mold.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,254

Art Unit: 1732

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

EHL

2/9/04

Notice of References Cited

`	Application/Control No. 09/903,254	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination STEWART, DAVID M.H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
-	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-4,440,820	04-1984	Shiho et al.	428/120
	В	US-5,804,299	09-1998	Nakata et al.	428/334
	С	US-4,155,972	05-1979	Hauser et al.	264/250
	D	US-6,322,875	11-2001	Kimura, Tohru	428/195.1
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			· ·
	н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-		·	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	α					
,	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.